TEXAS RACING COMMISSION

MEETING

10:30 a.m. Wednesday, June 8, 2022

John H. Reagan Building Room 120 1400 Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701

COMMISSIONERS:

ROBERT C. PATE, Chair CONNIE McNABB, D.V.M., Vice Chair MARGARET MARTIN (absent) ARVEL "A.J." WAIGHT MICHAEL "MIKE" MOORE (absent) REBECCA CONTRERAS (absent)

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS:

TIM KLEINSCHMIDT, Texas Department of Agriculture
STEVEN P. MACH, Public Safety Commission

I N D E X

| AGENDA ITEM | | | PAGE |
|-------------|-----------------------|---|------|
| I. | Cal | ll to Order 4 | |
| | Rol | ll Call | 4 |
| II. | Puk | olic Comment | 14 |
| III. | Staff Reports | | |
| | Α. | Executive Director Report | 26 |
| IV. | Sta | aff Actions | |
| | Α. | Presentation: Agency Resource Considerations for 2023-2027 Legislative Appropriations Request | 32 |
| V. | RACETRACK PROCEEDINGS | | |
| | Α. | The following entities request Commission approval of the following changes to their officers, effective May 1, 2022: | |
| | 1. | Sam Houston Race Park, LLC to appoint Dwight Berube as Vice President and General Manager (no other individuals will hold an officer position) | 47 |
| | 2. | Valley Race Park, LLC to appoint Dwight Berube as Vice President and General Manager (no other individuals will hold an officer position) | 47 |
| | 3. | Hill Lane, LLC to appoint Dwight Berube as Vice President and General Manager (no other individuals will hold an officer position) | 47 |
| | В. | To also acknowledge changes to the officers, directors, or managers of a concession or management company effective March 17, 2021: | |
| | 1. | VGB Operations, LLC to appoint Dwight Berube as sole Manager | 48 |
| | 2. | VGB Management, LLC to appoint Dwight Berube as sole Manager | 48 |
| | 3. | VG Beverage, Inc. (holds MB and BG permits at Valley Race Park) to appoint | 48 |

Christopher M. McErlean as sole Director and Secretary and to appoint Dwight Berube as President. Please note that both Mr. Berube and Mr. McErlean already hold current Association Officer/Director Licenses from the Texas Racing Commission.

VI. PROCEEDINGS ON RULES

- A. Discussion and possible action to adopt amendments to the following rules proposed in the April 29, 2022, issue of the Texas Register:
- 1. 16 TAC §309.13, Subchapter A, Division 1, 50 Supplemental Fee
- 2. 16 TAC §303.42, Subchapter B, Approval of 51 Charity Race Days
- 3. 16 TAC §301.1(74), Definition of Specimen 54
- 4. 16 TAC §319.3, Subchapter A, Medication 56 Restricted
- 5. 16 TAC §319.301, Subchapter D, Division 1, 58 Testing Authorized
- B. Discussion and possible action on the following rule review matters:
- Opening of rules reviews of Chapter 301, Definitions, Chapter 303, General Provisions.

VII. HORSERACING AND INTEGRITY AND SAFETY ACT (HISA).

- A. Presentation from the Horseracing 62
 Integrity and Safety Authority, Chief
 Executive Officer, Ms. Lisa Lazarus
 and/or Lead Counsel, Mr. John Roach
- VIII. EXECUTIVE SESSION (AS NEEDED) --
- IX. NEXT COMMISSION MEETING
 - Wednesday, August 24, 2022, 10:30 a.m. 75
- X. ADJOURN 76

| 1 | <u>PROCEEDINGS</u> |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Good morning. At this time, I'd |
| 3 | like to call today's meeting of the Texas Racing Commission |
| 4 | to order. The time is 10:30 a.m. |
| 5 | Phil, please call the roll. |
| 6 | MR. FOUNTAIN: Chairman Pate? |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Here. |
| 8 | MR. FOUNTAIN: Vice Chair McNabb? |
| 9 | DR. McNABB: Here. |
| 10 | MR. FOUNTAIN: Commissioner Martin? |
| 11 | (No response.) |
| 12 | MR. FOUNTAIN: Commissioner Moore? |
| 13 | (No response.) |
| 14 | MR. FOUNTAIN: Commission Waight? |
| 15 | MR. WAIGHT: Here. |
| 16 | MR. FOUNTAIN: Commissioner Contreras? |
| 17 | (No response.) |
| 18 | MR. FOUNTAIN: Commissioner Mach? |
| 19 | MR. MACH: Here. |
| 20 | MR. FOUNTAIN: Commissioner Burgess? |
| 21 | MR. BURGESS: Here. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Do we have a quorum? |
| 23 | MR. FOUNTAIN: Yes. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Commissioners, I'd |
| 25 | like to welcome you to our June Commission meeting. |

As I look back on the dramatic changes in this Commission and in the Agency following the 2021 Sunset Commission review, my appointment as the Chair of the Commission just about one year this week, and the selection of our new Executive Director, Amy Cook, it occurs to me that we are at a tipping point in the history of horseracing in Texas. Things are changing for the demonstrable better across the Agency.

This change continues today with the preparation for our legislative appropriation ask buttressed by the strategic plan recently adopted. Now, the legislature may see things differently, but I think we've done our level best to honestly plan for a well-staffed, effective agency going forward. It will be up to us to explain to the legislature and gain their support for our plan in the next biennium budget, but more change is coming.

About a month ago, I visited Lone Star Park with Amy. And as we walked the grounds, the test barn, and the turf, I asked Amy whether we had a viable safety checklist reflecting Agency rules that the Agency staff could rely upon to ensure that a track was race-ready every day of a meet.

I wanted to be assured that we were focused on track safety in Texas, as well as the host of other

animal-related safety rules which we enforce. The answer she gave me was no. I was told there was no clear safety checklist which could be generated based on our current rules of racing that the Agency could rely on as enforcement standards that both the Commissions as regulators, as well as the regulated licensees, could consistently turn to as the model for track safety.

This is unacceptable. Accordingly, my direction to staff this month to begin a sweeping rules review was then precipitated in part by this exchange at Lone Star Park, as well as by the Sunset review earlier reports, which also encourages our rules review.

I'm pleased today to note that as a result of our increased communication with the race tracks, our breed organizations, and all horsemen organizations about all facets of Texas Racing Commission operations, which our Executive Director has nurtured over the last year, each stakeholder has uniformly welcomed the opportunity to participate in the thoughtful redesign and modernization of the Agency rules. Their input is critical to our success.

Earlier this year, our Rules Committee, led by Vice Chair Dr. McNabb, chaired a series of public engagements to address the express concerns surrounding the how and when of the implementation of hair testing in

Texas. We had numerous public meetings and engagements to update those sections of our rules to get the implementation of that critical testing policy right, as part of our ongoing safety program. Public meetings were held at both Retama and Sam Houston Race Parks, with a diverse and interested racing community explaining concerns from different perspectives.

Draft rules language was cleaned up -tightened up, as necessary. The results were fully
vetted, clear, and enforceable rules ready for adoption by
the Commission today.

Of particular concern, generally, is the current rules, in most cases, are several decades old, very generic, and obtuse, and do not clearly provide the enforcement mechanisms we need today in order to conduct our enforcement mission and to clearly set forth the performance standards that are expected of the participants at every level.

Now, Dr. McNabb will begin the important work of reviewing the Texas Rules of Racing in their entirety, while considering international, national, and state law as we rewrite them with the input of our key stakeholders, the citizens of Texas. We expect to provide every opportunity for public comment as we begin this process, hoping to take up the rules in logical groupings, and will

announce our strategy and the dates and times of public meetings to provide every opportunity for feedback as we prepare draft rules for consideration.

This will not happen overnight, nor should it.

There is no more important Racing Commission task than providing an opportunity for Texans to have their voice heard on the topic of effective regulation of the sport of horseracing, and how we can explain and perform our mission with integrity, transparency, and accountability.

More change is coming from the outside forces, particularly the new HISA legislation. We will be honored later today to receive some remarks from Ms. Lisa Lazarus, the Chief Executive Officer of the HISA Authority, and her counsel, Mr. John Roach.

I want to make it very clear that this

Commission agrees with the HISA goals of increasing the integrity and safety of the sport. What we do not agree with is the implementation of a law passed by Congress as part of the COVID relief massive legislation package on a House voice vote and without hearings in the United States Senate, followed by a set of regulations posted for comment where it appears few of the industry stakeholders comments were heard or adopted.

This lack of a normal Congressional committee

process is at the heart of the problems, not only to

Texas, but, I believe, to a host of other states, as well.

Now, I want to tell you, this is not the fault of Ms.

Lazarus or Mr. Roach, our guests here today. But it is

the truth.

I certainly hope that any of you who might have public comment in a few minutes will remember that there probably isn't anyone who wishes the enabling legislation was more clear, more consistent with varying state law, or allowed more time to prepare and to become effective than Lisa or John. They have a tough job to do.

Our concerns are not personal to them, but they are real. And these decisions have real consequences to many, and are taken seriously by many Texans here today.

Unfortunately -- but again, truthfully -- HISA very poorly harmonizes with Texas law.

I sense that maybe is true with other states, as well. For one example among many, we in Texas will likely have duplicate licensing requirements for thoroughbred owners, trainers, and related parties because of the nature of Texas racing.

In Texas, we have five state recognized equine breeds. The existence of mixed meets is not unusual, and confused jurisdiction between the Commission and HISA amplifies these jurisdictional problems.

The horse barns at each track each day can have any of the five breeds in them next to each other. The make up of the barns is not by breed. Rather, it is determined by whether it's a haul-in or a daily rental of the barn, versus a barn reserved for months to participate in a specific set of races.

To enter the restricted areas of our racetracks, you need a Texas Racing Commission-issued license. That includes HISA personnel, who must apply, pay a fee, and pass the background check. Under Texas law, there are no exceptions to the statute for the health and safety of Texas racing participants. We have a statutory duty to protect all breeds in the back side, and we will continue to do so.

Moreover, the HISA Authority has not seriously, today, prepared to assume jurisdiction over racing. One example, independent of Texas law -- HISA proposed a registration rule on May 16, 2022, that is technically not yet effective, that also requires that covered persons are registered with and accredited by them. Covered persons seems to be a shifting definition, and what being accredited is is unclear.

The question is who is required to be accredited, and what are the consequences of not becoming accredited, since the rule has not been approved by the

FTC. And the comment period was supposed to be, we thought, for the usual federal 60-day period -- until July 16th -- but it actually closed after only 14 days? It just recently closed. So, we're going to determine, or we're going to find out what happens when this rule is approved and how it will be implemented.

All of that said, Texas Racing Commission personnel will not be checking HISA accreditation. And, in fact, it appears that many Texans will be accredited because there is only one way to get accredited, and that is online. This current process presents significant difficulties for a large portion of the back side community who are not computer literate, creating a have and have not situation, and threatens to impair the livelihood of those who make their living in the equine industry.

A basic question is, if I'm a groom for two horses -- one thoroughbred, one quarter horse -- and I do not have a computer, do I lose my job if the day I'm taking care of the thoroughbred -- since I'm not registered or accredited?

Finally, everyone in Texas needs to understand how Texas horse wagering law works. As I have said before, although the Horseracing Integrity and Safety Act is understood to have a preemptive effect on the various

states, in Texas, that effect is significant.

In Texas, HISA will kill pari-mutuel on-site wagering, and result in the prohibition of simulcast export wagering. Wagering on horseracing is a unique regulatory responsibility we have under the Texas Racing Act and the rules of racing, which is inextricably tied to all functions of the Texas Racing Commission through the Texas Racing Act.

If the Texas Racing Commission, under the Texas Racing Act, isn't involved in every aspect of a horse meet and its races, pari-mutuel wagering on such races is against the explicit terms of the Texas Racing Act. The HISA statute makes no mention of pari-mutuel wagering.

And as Judge Hood in his June 3, 2022, opinion in the case The State of Oklahoma and others against the United States of America made clear, "HISA, however, does not affect existing state and federal regulation on any matters unrelated to any doping, medication control, and racetrack and racing safety of covered horses and covered races."

And further, "HISA limits the scope of rulemaking to medication control and racetrack safety."

Therefore, the Federal Trade Commission, enabled by the federal statute enacted through and acting through HISA, does not replace Texas Racing Commission or its authority

in this state with respect to pari-mutuel wagering.

Period, full stop.

The decision the Texas tracks may make is to voluntarily give up their simulcast export signal, at least for the immediate future, and thus, deny HISA its federal jurisdiction through the Interstate Horseracing Act of 1978, so that business in Texas can continue almost as usual, and provide immediate certainty to owners, trainers, the Commission, and the track association.

If Texas is denied required involvement in any race in favor of the HISA Authority, that same track will not only lose its ability to export simulcast signal, but on-site wagering, as well, as such race will not be in compliance with Texas law.

Now, this is not a Texas Racing Commission decision. It is a Texas Racing Commission duty. These jurisdictional problems and the admitted delays of the HISA Authority to actually begin to regulate could not possibly have been the results for Texas or any other state that Senator McConnell intended when he attached this piece of legislation to the COVID relief package with passage assured without debate.

The solution that is begging for advancement is the recognition that the effective date for this legislation needs to be pushed back 18 to 24 months to

allow HISA the time to thoughtfully adopt its rules with widespread industry involvement and to reasonably resolve these absolutely real jurisdictional issues -- not just for Texas, but for all states.

And not just for thoroughbreds, but for all racing equine athletes and their jockeys, and to allow certainty to the track associations, owners, trainers, and others involved in this sport until the law's fully understood and can actually be effectively implemented.

We're now going to move on to Public II, public comment. Phil, I've got several cards here.

Mindy Coleman is recognized for three minutes for public comment. Good morning. Thank you for coming.

MS. COLEMAN: Good morning, Commissioners.

Mindy Coleman on behalf of the Jockeys Guild. As the representative of all the jockeys of the United States -- that includes quarter horse and thoroughbred both -- including all of those who regularly ride in Texas -- is this close enough?

I'm short. Sorry. Make sure you can hear.

We want to give a sincere appreciation to the Texas Racing Commission, as well as the representatives of the Horseracing Integrity Authority who are here today to have this most important meeting and discussion allowing for the rest of the industry participants to express its

opinions and concerns about the implementation of the approved regulations.

The Guild has long stated that the health and welfare of both the equine and human athletes is paramount, and should always take precedence in our industry. It's the jockeys' lives and well being that is risked when there are risks to the horses.

We have long been a proponent of creating and establishing uniform guidelines throughout the country.

And in doing so, it was in hopes of creating the safest racing environment possible.

Throughout the past several years, the Guild has worked with the industry and regulators in an attempt to create these safety regulations. However, we've been supportive of the concepts and ideas that were introduced by the various organizations through and considered by HISA when they were -- excuse me -- the Horseracing Integrity Authority.

With regards to the jockeys, we've been working for over a decade with the industry to adopt safety standards, including concussion protocols and return-to-ride guidelines, as well as a centralized health database to be accessed by racetrack personnel and medical personnel in the event of an injury to the jockeys.

However, due to lack of enforcement, communication, and

cooperation, many of the protocols and programs were unsuccessful or never fully adopted.

In general, the racetrack safety regulations, as presented and adopted by the Horseracing Integrity -HISA are necessary for our sport, in general, to assure the safest racing environment, while establishing uniformity. The Guild and our members recognize and appreciate the efforts put forth by the members of the Authority to improve the safety for the human and equine athletes, as well as the integrity and public perception of our sport.

It should be noted that our co-chairman, Hall of Fame jockey, John Velasquez, was appointed as a member to the Authority Racetrack Safety Standing Committee as an industry representative for the jockeys. Since the formation of the Authority -- in particular, that committee -- the Guild has been submitting our comments and what was best interest for the horses and the jockeys, as well as the industry in a whole. Some of our suggestions and comments were taken into consideration and implemented and adopted with regard to the safety.

With that being said, we do still have concerns with some of the regulations as presented that we think are going to have an effect on the realities of our industry. One major area of concern for our membership is

the use of the riding crop, including the specifications of the riding crop being permitted and the penalties.

Much of the information and articles that were relied upon by the committee members were outdated and prior to the implementation of the existing safety riding crop, known as the ProCush, which is the riding crop that's primarily used throughout the world in international jurisdictions.

Additionally, the rules were adopted that are very similar to the rules that had been circulated throughout the industry in the previous two years.

However, after much consideration and discussion, those rules were not adopted. There was a reason -- they were not realistic and they did not work.

MR. FOUNTAIN: The speaker's time has expired.

CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Just finish your thoughts, ma'am.

MS. COLEMAN: Okay. I'll hurry, and I apologize. It should be recognized that the change with the use of the riding crop was for public perception. We recognize that, and we wanted it to happen.

However, the Guild, along with many industry representatives, had worked to create a riding crop rule that we thought was necessary and beneficial for the industry. The Kentucky Horseracing Commission did adopt

1 that regulation. It went into effect last year. 2 However, the Authority, against the recommendations and the input of the one person who truly 3 had the best understanding and whose life is at risk, was 4 5 disregarded. They did not adopt it. 6 I had two other points. I don't know if you 7 want me make those or --CHAIRMAN PATE: Well, we're going to move on. 8 We do have a schedule, but Ms. Coleman, thank you very 9 10 much for coming. Thank you. 11 MS. COLEMAN: 12 CHAIRMAN PATE: Is it -- Tracy Sheffield is now 13 recognized for public comment. The Texas Thoroughbred 14 Association. Good morning. 15 MS. SHEFFIELD: Good morning. Good morning. 16 As a board member for the Texas Thoroughbred Association, 17 I would take this opportunity to thank you for hearing me, and to address some racing industry concerns that have 18 19 been brought to my attention by owners, breeders, trainers, veterinarians, and jockeys in regard to the 20 21 Horseracing Integrity and Safety Act. 22 At present, HISA is a bureaucratic nightmare of 23 partial rules, rules yet to be developed, requirements

that cannot be met due to HISA failings, and what appears

to be a deliberate opacity in regards to how the Authority

24

25

will conduct its stated business.

We request that the Racing Commission assist the thoroughbred industry in navigating and clarifying the rules. This assistance is vital to the smooth implementation of federal law that is currently in the hands of an authority that has yet to complete its developmental work.

This assistance should include a solution for the funding of HISA, as far as possible, to determine at this time costs that will be passed on to the tracks and horsemen. I strenuously urge the Racing Commission to find state or federal funding for the program.

As federal law states, people involved in racing must register with HISA by July 1, 2022. I would ask the Racing Commission to find some type of grace period or postponement of the enforcement compatible with the law until the rules can actually be written. And then those registering with HISA will have the ability to know to what they are agreeing.

Everyone wants racing clean and free from the improper use of medication or use of banned substances.

The State Racing Commission is already doing an admirable job in this area. There is no need for HISA to come in and duplicate what is already being done well.

I sincerely hope that the State Racing

ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

| 1 | Commission will find a way to create a system within |
|----|--|
| 2 | HISA as it is now required by federal law to abide by |
| 3 | it until HISA can be legally dismantled and control of |
| 4 | racing can be returned entirely to state racing |
| 5 | commissions where it has been successfully managing racing |
| 6 | for over 125 years. |
| 7 | Under the thoughtful and able leadership of Amy |
| 8 | Cook, the Texas State Racing Commission is in a position |
| 9 | to guide Texas horseracing into an even greater economic |
| 10 | powerhouse for the State. We hope the Racing Commission |
| 11 | can find a way to support the thoroughbred industry |
| 12 | through this unprecedented change. Thank you. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you, Ms. Sheffield. |
| 14 | Next recognized is Liberty Labrun. Did I say |
| 15 | that correctly? |
| 16 | MS. LABRUN: Labrun. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you. |
| 18 | MS. LABRUN: Good morning. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Good morning. |
| 20 | MS. LABRUN: Can you hear me okay? |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN PATE: We can. You have a light |
| 22 | voice, so speak up. |
| 23 | MS. LABRUN: Yes, sir. My name is Liberty |
| 24 | LaBrun, and I am here on behalf of thousands of racehorse |
| 25 | trainers affected by the implementation of the new |

Horseracing Integrity and Safety Act's rules and regulations.

As a member of this magnificent sport, I was not in a huge opposition to the upcoming medications regulations. However, our disappointment came shortly after the regulations and rules were publicized by the Authority this past month.

The understanding was that the Authority was to establish a uniform anti-doping and controlled medications program for the purpose to improve the integrity and safety of horseracing. Why all of these additional regulations not pertaining to anti-doping and medication -- is the Authority stepping over its true boundaries and purpose?

Our question is, however, the anti-doping rules and regulations mixed with the trainers' regimen and training. HISA defined a trainer in their website as -- a trainer means a person engaged in training covered horses. The accurate definition of a trainer is an independent contractor engaged by a consumer, which is the owner, to provide a service of professionally preparing an athlete for competition.

One of the issues the horsemen have with the Authority is the statement recently made my Ms. Ann McGovern on June 3rd during a webcast interview. Ms.

McGovern stated, If a horse get claimed, you, the trainer, are responsible for making a copy of those training records and giving them, along with the veterinary records, to the new trainer of the claimed horse.

She also stated in the interview that trainers will need to give the past 30 days of the horse's training, the supplements, and the feed, whether or not the horse jogged, walked, worked, et cetera. She wants the current trainer to tell the new trainer what they did on a daily basis for that horse.

Now, this is not a clear rule in the rules and regulations. On the website, it stated that trainers' responsibilities provide a chart upon the claim or transfer to another trainer. At this point, this rule technically is against the Federal Trade Commission, entirely based on the comments made by Ms. McGovern.

The sole purpose of the Federal Trade

Commission is to empower, among other things, to prevent

unfair methods of competition. This rule is not covered

by this authority, as it is null and void based on the

U.S. Department of Labor for independent contractors.

Additionally, the Sherman Act of 1890, the Clayton Act of

1940, and the Restricted Trades Act of 1959.

MR. FOUNTAIN: The speaker's time has expired.

CHAIRMAN PATE: Okay. Thank you very much for

1 coming, ma'am. We appreciate it. 2 And Marnie McCormick is recognized for public 3 comment. MS. McCORMICK: Commissioners, I'm Marnie 4 5 McCormick. I'm here on a different matter. I'm here on 6 item 5. 7 CHAIRMAN PATE: Oh, I'm sorry. All right. And then, I think, Kristine Fullerton? Good 8 9 morning. 10 MS. FULLERTON: My cheat sheet's out. CHAIRMAN PATE: Go ahead. 11 MS. FULLERTON: It's not what I do for a 12 living. Good morning, Commissioners. Welcome to Texas, 13 14 Ms. Lisa Lazarus, John Roach. Thank you all very much for 15 the opportunity to address today many of the concerns my fellow horsemen have. 16 17 My name is Kris Fullerton. While I am on a board, I speak today only for myself and horsemen that 18 19 have reached out to me, and not on behalf of any 20 association. We appreciate this opportunity to address the concerns that many of us have about the looming 21 22 implementation of HISA. 23 Most of us were in support of the idea behind 24 HISA breeding uniformity in both medication rules and 25 penalties, as well as the involvement of the USADA was

seen as a positive move. We had no idea, at that time, that signing away our Fourth Amendment right was going to be the very first thing we were required to do.

While many of the rules, as written, place an undue and largely undeserved burden on honest horsemen -- which are the majority -- the most egregious of all is rule 8400, which demands that we allow the Authority or their designees free access to our property. Section 2 of Rule 8400 allows that the Authority or their designees may "seize any medication, drug, substance, or paraphernalia in violation or supposed violation of the regulations of the Authority."

Most of us have injectable medications and the means to give them, which includes hypodermic needles and syringes on our property to treat other horses and livestock, and this is well within the bounds of Texas state law. Unfortunately, this places us in direct violation as covered persons with covered horses of HISA Rule Number 2230.

In a recent industry article, a HISA rep said,
"This is not what we mean." They said they will not going
to farms, nor seizing our medications, nor penalizing us
for possession of such.

My question and my fellow horsemen's question is, if you did not mean that, why did you write it that

way? You wrote the rules. You passed the rules and submitted them for approval.

The pending revisions on those exact same rules that have been quoted in the articles only remove the type of business that is allowed for search and seizure, restraining it to places where covered horses are cared for by covered people, which is, by definition, our farms.

There is no rule divining the difference in a farm versus track for covered person and covered horses under HISA Authority. We would like to know how HISA can offer us platitudes which directly contradict the rules they wrote, while simultaneously asking us to just trust them.

In another interview, a HISA director exaggerated a rule well beyond the scope of what is written in the actual rule, and stated that expansion as fact of rule. As HISA has finally started at the eleventh hour to engage horsemen, they have contradicted their actual rules to suit their narrative. Yet, they still continue to ask us for trust.

The only thing undecided with all the different versions of their rules between what was promulgated, versus what they say they mean, is if the discrepancies are the result of incompetence or deliberate deception.

It is time to push these rules back, get everybody to the

1 table, let's do the inception in a fair and measured 2 manner with input from everybody, we beg you. MR. FOUNTAIN: 3 The speaker's time has expired. 4 CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you, Ms. Fullerton. 5 MS. FULLERTON: Made it. 6 CHAIRMAN PATE: Is there any other public 7 comment? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. At this time, the 10 Chair recognizes that Commissioner Kleinschmidt came in. I guess his electric car did not run out of juice. 11 12 he'll be tagging in for Commissioner Burgess. 13 And we will go to staff reports, Item 3. We'll 14 go to staff reports, the Executive Director's report. 15 Amy? 16 MS. COOK: Good morning, Commissioners, ladies 17 and gentlemen. I just want to take a moment to say how grateful I am for this moment and the attendees here 18 19 today. 20 I think that the most important responsibility of government is to provide public dialog and conversation 21 22 around how governments that are of the people and for the 23 people are run. And I hope, to the extent that we are 24 doing our job at the staff level, that we're doing a job 25 that is transparent, and we're doing that job well.

So my report today will cover a couple of items on what has happened since the last Commission meeting, which was a mere three weeks ago, because the pace is picking up and we're doing great things. And the team at the Texas Racing Commission, while we've had a lot of people depart, we've had some great folks come. And we really are appreciating all the opportunities we have to lead and serve the State of Texas.

First, I wanted to update on the Commission meeting dates for next year of 2023. Those dates have been set. They will be published on our website. And to the Commissioners, they will be the third Wednesday of every even-numbered month.

And we'll post that out there this week -- with the exception of Christmas, which will be the second Wednesday of the month to give us all time with our families.

Second, the Agency's finally moved. We've been hearing about this move for years, right? So, we're three blocks down the road. Can't walk there, though, because there's construction. Can't invite visitors into the building because it's still under construction.

And, you know, the Texas Facilities

Commission -- I have to give them and the Department of

Public Information Resources great applause for the work

they're doing, because it's really difficult to move multiple agencies into one building. And they're doing a great job, speaking as the agency that led the way going in the building.

So we will hope to have an open house when we are allowed to have visitors to the building. But what's great about the move is not just the fact that we're in a position to be right down the street, but we've also saved approximately \$20,000 a month in lease-based expenses by moving into that building. So that's good news for the taxpayers.

The third item is the Agency enforcement database. As you all know, it was a source of concern for public folks, for the legislature, for the Sunset Commission -- the way we were running our enforcement database and the old software we were using to run that database. That database was located on a fragile physical server at the lease-based location.

We moved the application and the data with it with the help of Department of Information Resources.

However, it does not currently -- it has not currently come back online. So to mitigate the impact on licensees, we've put forth a grace period. That grace period is all expiring licenses from June 1st through August 31st are now extended so they do not expire, to really allow for

horsemen, trainers, owners, breeders, licensees to continue the business that they need to continue.

And that's minimal impact to the Agency in terms of collecting fees. You know, and we are fine in terms of revenue, so I really thought it was important to mitigate the impact on licensees of us not having that.

We do have a back up plan to put in place -another application, and we're working on that. We hope
the database comes back up. But at this time, it does not
work.

And so this challenge really comes at a time for us where we're trying to align ourselves with the Texas Occupations Code. So as we move to a new model -- as we maybe get the database working or what have you -- we intend to continue to strengthen our alignment with the Texas Government Code, as well. And this will be part of, when we go through rules review, what we ask of our stakeholders -- is how we go about licensing and how we do that well. And I'll talk about that a little bit more in a moment.

On the enforcement side of the house, I want to thank Major General Retired Duke Bodish for coming along and helping me really understand how to get Texas law enforcement right for the Racing Commission in our own lane. He's done a great job with that, and I've asked him

to stay on for a period of time and really be an advisor as we go through rule review, legislative session, and helping us really get our enforcement mission right because it is kind of the centerpiece of how the Agency runs its business. And I'm grateful for him and the support that he provided.

I mean, we've obviously taken a hard look at how we do things, and we're looking to make sure we take great steps in professionalism, training tools, and technology for our investigative forces we build up.

For the Texas legislature, I had my first opportunity to testify yesterday. I hope I represented the Texas Racing Commission and the Commissioners well. It was a great opportunity to talk about illegal racetracks, is what the legislature calls them -- we call them brush tracks on the industry side -- and really talk about the future that is possible for the Texas Racing Commission to lead in that space.

Because up to this point, the Racing Commission might have identified a brush track, but we would turn to local law enforcement or city, county, or Department of Public Safety to really take the lead on that. I think there was actually a misunderstanding when I first got the phone call to testify that we didn't have anything really to do with that -- that it might have some connection to

the Texas Racing Act, so maybe we should come talk.

And I hope we were able to explain that we can really provide some leadership in that space. Our jurisdiction is not just the fences of the race park.

It's actually the entire state of Texas.

And those illegal tracks are an economic and safety and health concern for every horseman and every industry stakeholder in the state of Texas and, of course, the Commission. So, that legislative testimony -- we'll post a link to that to our web page so you can go watch, and I look forward to the feedback.

On the Agency's strategic plan, one of the things that I'm so grateful for is the great advice I get from folks that I've asked to come along on this journey with me. So Phil Fountain, our new Government Relations Officer, suggested we hand out to the legislators that strategic plan and talk from it.

And we actually modified it slightly just to kind of go a little deeper on brush tracks and some other items so we could really use that a centerpiece for testimony at the legislature. And I think that was effective yesterday, and it's something that we'll continue to use as the handout.

On rule review, as you know, Chairman Pate announced a sweeping review of the Texas rules of racing.

1 And I have to say that we're excited to continue the same 2 level of public engagement across the State of Texas. And 3 actually, we'd like to expand that to other areas of 4 Texas, in addition to the current operating race tracks. 5 And I'm talking to a few folks about where we 6 can have those meetings to support that process because I 7 don't think there's anything more powerful than a rulemaking process that is accomplished in partnership 8

I'll be visiting all the race tracks this month in person, starting tomorrow with Gillespie County Fair. Going to Sam Houston, Lone Star Park, and to Retama Park really to visit with them in person and talk to them about this rule review, as well as other things on their mind and really make sure we get that right so I can report their perspectives to the Commission.

with the very community that has to live by the rules that

Subject to your questions, that concludes the Executive Director's report for this meeting.

CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Thank you, Amy. Commissioners, any questions?

(No response.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are being made.

CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Thank you, Amy.

Next on the Agenda, Item 4A, staff actions, the

presentation of Agency resource considerations for the

2023-2027 legislative appropriations request.

Amy, please address this Agenda item.

MS. COOK: So I could give you a long, boring discussion of how we need to be funded, and what that's going to look like. And what I promise you we'll have is in detail, down to the penny, what the request will be in the legislative appropriations request. We'll present the full request to the Commission, and post that publicly and socialize that before we bring it.

What I really want to talk to you today is how we've had some opportunities missed in the past that the Commission will not miss, which will help reduce what we do ask for in the end. We went through a process about three weeks ago called the base reconciliation report, which is a reconciliation of previous years.

I'm sad to report that the Agency let \$187,000 in funds lapse. In other words, those were funds that the Agency had available to spend within our appropriation, and we did not spend those funds. We also let seven FTEs lapse.

So those are funds that we did not access and FTEs that we did not fill last year. And that was before I got this position. Now what we've realized after going through that process in great detail, preparing for the LAR, is we have some riders -- contingent riders in our

appropriation. One of them being the number of live race days that we can exercise.

To that extent, we actually have 37 race days on our calendar approved by the Commission last year above the 146 that are typically in the base appropriation. And so we've just sent a request to the Texas Comptroller allowing us to access \$129,537 in appropriated funds, and \$68,265 in unappropriated funds that are paid for employee benefits, and 3.7 FTEs.

So, what does that really mean, Amy? What that means is that the Agency can fill 43 FTEs. The Agency has had a longstanding issue of we have an appropriation of \$3.7 million a year.

Our revenue typically runs about \$700,000 to \$800,000 above the appropriation limit. We cannot access that revenue. And so that revenue lapses if we don't exercise riders.

So when I say \$129,000 plus 60, which is, like, \$197,000 that we can access to provide support to stakeholders, it doesn't mean the stakeholders pay more because the money's already available to the Commission. So we're able to access it. But the even better news is we have the ability, at the same time, to exercise access to \$84,175 in the Texas-bred Incentive Program.

What that allows us to do is, for those 37 days

race days, is pay out more Texas-bred Incentive money for horses and horsemen competing in races. So that's good news for the horseracing industry, as well as the Commission, that we're going to exercise that rider. We will not let FTEs within in my power lapse, or funds lapse, while I am the Executive Director.

We are hiring, if anybody's looking for a job.

And so, we really want you to come along on this great
journey with us and be part of the solution to help me
executive those FTEs -- just saying.

So, additional cost savings that we have -- I mean, I've already talked to you about reducing the Agency's IT contracting responsibilities from \$750,000 a year in costs down to \$78,000 a year. And some of those are the cost savings.

All of those costs savings are really being recognized by restoring partnerships with the Texas

Facilities Commission, Texas Department of Information

Resources, and even the Texas Department of Public Safety on the process of fingerprints and background checks that really was kind of confusing and archaic, and we've just straightened some of that out thanks to them.

So what I want to turn to now -- and I'd like you to turn to your strategic plan. And if you're on the Commission meeting materials, the Commissioners have a

copy in front of them. But it's page 29 of the strategic plan, and it's page 32 of your Commission meeting materials.

And I want to talk for a moment about what is this budget going to be based on? Well, it's based on the four goals -- enabling the horseracing industry in the great State of Texas and protecting that industry; communicating effectively. And communication means everything from language barriers to technology and partnering.

So, if you'd look on page 29 or page 32 in your materials -- I mean, what we see up here is Action Plan 1, which completely matches what the Chairman said, which is a complete review of the Texas rules of racing. And then, of course, modernizing our licensing program to align with Texas Code.

The next page -- goal B, protect. You know, it really goes to deterring, investigating, and adjudicating violations of the act and effectively addressing equine and human safety. And I'll have to tell you, I appreciate Mindy Coleman coming and standing up here and reaching out to us the other day because I feel like there's a lot of focus on the horse.

However, when that horse goes down and something happens and jockey falls off, and the Commission

is supposed to have occupational health employees and physician's assistants or medical folks to check them and make sure they're okay. And we don't have that right now, and that would be part of what we hope to get in place.

And I think that when we look at some of those safety aspect of what we do, we did look at the way that HISA rules were being made and what the discussions were because I think there's some great take aways there that can be adopted in our state.

Goal C, communicate -- of course, modernizing and leveraging all of the capabilities. I spoke to Department of Information Resources' strategic plan director, John Holland, about what we should say in our plan. And he has a statewide accessibility coordinator that works for him.

You know, in terms of accessibility -- no matter what your language is -- no matter what your technology capabilities are, you need to be able to get a license from us and we need to be inclusive and able to be found and accessed anywhere you are in the great State of Texas or, frankly, in the world because we have international participants for the horseracing community in Texas. And we talked about that page.

And last is page 32, the partnership goal.

Really, I think the key thing I want to highlight there --

I'm going to say for just a moment -- because I want you to turn with me, please, to page 33. And this is where I keyed into legislature.

These are the six priorities of the Agency, and some of them do involve funding. You know the regulatory structure; we've spoken about that. The self-funded nature of the Agency has been a model that we've had in Texas since the inception of the Racing Act -- that the Agency will become self-funded, we'll collect our own fees.

And that has really created a lot of concern and a lot of criticism of the Agency from the Sunset Commission report after report. And so, now, we're looking to actually change the way fees are collected.

And this helps us, quite frankly, because if you look deeply in the Horseracing Integrity and Safety statute, because our state opted out of collecting fees by our statute -- which is what we had to do -- you could read the rules to say that, then, the State Racing Commission may not collect fees for some races and some covered horse races and some covered persons.

And so because we'll need a legal interpretation on that, it's going to be better for the Commission, and this is just one more reason that all these fees are paid to the Comptroller. We put forth a

public transparent LAR, and that money is appropriated back to us, different from the direct fee relationship we had.

And I'm not talking about reimbursable programs where we collect for TVMDL. You know, TVM does its lab testing. They send us an invoice. We pay the invoice and collect from the parks, which is the process I'd let to get to. I'm not talking about reimbursable programs.

I'm talking about the Commission falls short on funding, which is the supplemental fee invoicing rule that I recommended we repeal, and will be presented by Virginia here in a moment for adoption by the Commission.

And, quite frankly, that practice, to me, didn't give the public accountability transparency that it should in terms of what Texas taxpayers should be able to see in terms of how money is spent. So I think that really helps us.

So if you look at the next page, the Agency fiscal structure is directly tied to that. Same concern, different aspect of it, which is the fiscal structure tied to the statute. If you look at the next redundancy, it's the Agency staffing model. So, this is based on live race dates.

The Agency conducts business 24/7, 365 -- sometimes more than 24 hours, it feels like right now.

But it's a great job and a great opportunity. And so, we're going to change some of our funding structure and model so that we get that right.

But I really want to focus on page 38 or page 41 in your materials. When we talk about partnership and we talk about having the premier lab in Texas -- the Texas Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Lab -- so glad Travis is here today.

I really think it's important that there's a stable source of funding so that we can get it right in Texas. And there is this equine drug council that was in place, and I've got that laid out here.

And there's money the Commission already pays to Texas A&M -- and we had this conversation three times at A&M and with the lab folks, and with the A&M leadership -- that money's already going in. But it actually -- because the legislature, then, took this council out of existence, the money continues to go to A&M, but it doesn't go to lab and it doesn't really help.

And so my idea is that you take this stable source of funding and you increase that, and you actually stand up -- and I'm going to steal a little bit of a page from another state -- an equine drug research council. So you actually use the testing to prevent this from

happening.

You also do internships and educational partnerships as part of this. And that council would be the Racing Commission, the lab at A&M, and it would be members of the Texas horsemen's industry that would be part of that council, and really look at a stable source of funding to modernize their lab and keep it up-to-date and pay for testing.

That way, there's not any one person that feels like it's their burden to pay for testing. And so I'm hopeful that that will take off and be a great model for maybe all the other states.

The next page is, of course, modernizing the Texas Occupations Code and bringing ourselves in line with the Licensing Act. And then of course, the page I focused on yesterday at the legislature was page 41, page 44 in your materials.

So as I said before, this idea that we don't have statewide jurisdiction -- we should have the ability to do cease and desist orders, the administrative orders like you would have, some of the other enforcement agencies who are administrative like Texas Alcohol and Beverage Commission -- where we go out and say, We understand you're running an illegal bush or brush track -- pick your term. You're ordered to cease and desist.

And then, we go about it that way. And we really do as much of the enforcement mission as we can with our Agency empowered to do so. So I think that's what's important for you to know.

On page 55 of your materials -- page 58, if you're looking at the Commission materials, or page 55 of the strategic plan -- Agency workforce plan. And this is where the rubber meets the road. This is fundamentally a personnel budget.

It's not complicated. You've got to have enough people. Those people need to have the tools, training, technology, and development plan to get it right.

And they need to have a career ladder, which is in a statute -- which in my interview, I said, I'm sorry.

Do you all have a career ladder here? And the answer was no, because the previous work chart looked like this, right? Like, director, director, director, director, director, director,

Now it looks like the triangle that it's supposed to look like. And so you're kind of going to see some description here, but what I really want you to look at is, take a look at page 57, or 60 in your materials. So, this is what I think we actually need.

If you look at the little racetrack-looking

thing on the bottom there, the racetrack staffing model for a live racing event should be 21 FTEs. We should have enough people -- three boards of stewards folks, a safety steward, the investigators, and licensing -- which will become one department.

So professionally-trained licensing investigators will actually, at some point, be the ones that check your background and make sure that you should have a license. And they also will have that customer service aspect of their job, as well as the enforcement mission, because we are not a criminal enforcement agency.

We are a public service agency just like other agencies, and we enable you to race. And then, if you violate the rules, we come and say, Hey, you violated the rules. There is an issue here.

We need to have enough veterinarians. We don't need to have one human being that tries to work 90 hours a week to keep horses safe. We need vet technicians. We need to take over from the tracks, that we have the vet technicians in the test barn actually work for the Commission. I think that's important.

And we need to have an auditor that watches pari-mutuel. And a physician's assistant. If somebody gets hurt on the track, we should make sure we are there supporting them, and not just rely on others.

So to the right-hand side, you see it's 96 FTEs that we'd be asking for -- 26 to the headquarters, 70 to the field. That is the right mix -- 30/70, or maybe a little more to the field, because that's where the work is done and that's where the manpower should be.

And fundamentally, the math looks like this.

The median salary in the Commission is \$57,000 a year -\$57,000. For a veterinarian, it's \$68,000. That is a not a living wage.

And these salaries -- and this median salary was put into place years ago, long before you ever had inflation or the rest of the things that are going on right now in the country. So the median salary, I believe, should be \$85,000 a year -- median. And that means that some of the veterinarians and more professional folks like the lawyers and the doctors or the physician's assistants -- they fall somewhere in that range.

But \$57,000 a year is not an acceptable salary.

And when we put out professional -- we have a

veterinarian position. We've had it out for 90 days. No

applicants because we are not able to pay the salary for

the limited equine industry community that is out there.

So that's what we're talking about. So, fundamentally, that's the plan. I mean, it's a personnel budget -- the right people in the right place, manning all

the tracks, planning ahead for other racetracks that want to open, and really being able to support every aspect of the industry, and adopting all the best practices that are out there for the great State of Texas.

Because I really believe we will be -challenge has been issued -- not only the best state
agency in the great State of Texas and the most
professional racing commission in America, but we will be
the premier state for horseracing in the United States of
America.

Subject to your questions, that concludes my briefing.

CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Thank you, Amy.

Commissioners, I want everybody to understand -- I mean, and just remember because we're going to get all of your collective help when the legislature comes back in session and your thoughts on how to proceed -- because we're fundamentally proposing the change in the way -- I want to make sure you understand -- the change in the way we're financed.

We're going to a general appropriation model from an industry pays model. Not that the industry doesn't already pay a lot of things that don't even get booked -- I mean, things they have to do for us. And it's a big shift and it's going to take some work to get that

1 accomplished. 2 But it is a direct answer to the Sunset review criticisms of the Agency that this relationship between 3 4 the regulator and the regulated, where the regulated had 5 to pay for the regulator to work. It unnecessarily 6 creates tension, and those tensions are what, in my 7 opinion, largely drove this Agency into trouble in past 8 years. 9 That's my view. But Commissioners, do you all 10 have any questions or comments? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Thank you very 13 much, Amy. 14 DR. McNABB: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN PATE: And I don't see anybody signed up for public comment on this. All right. Thank you. 16 17 We'll now go to racetrack proceedings, Item 5A. Let me ask Ms. McCormick -- which one of these are you 18 19 going to speak on? All of them? MS. McCORMICK: Actually, I'm here just as a 20 21 resource if you have any questions. 22 CHAIRMAN PATE: Got it. All right. Thank you 23 very much.

> ON THE RECORD REPORTING (512) 450-0342

The next is Agenda Item 5A, racetrack

proceedings with Sam Houston Race Park, Valley Race Park,

24

25

LLC, and Hill Lane, LLC, also known as Manor Downs, requesting Commission approval to changes in the entities' officers. Virginia will present this item.

MS. FIELDS: Good morning, Commissioners. It's still morning a little bit. Virginia Fields, for the record, on Agenda Item 5A. I'm going to take all three items overall.

CHAIRMAN PATE: All right.

MS. FIELDS: I'm asking today that the

Commission approve the request of Sam Houston Race Park to
approve Dwight Berube as Vice President and General

Manager effective May 1, 2022. And the request of Valley
Race Park to appoint Dwight Berube as Vice President and
General Manager, also effective May 1, 2022. And finally,
the request of Hill Lane, also known as Manor Downs, to
appoint Dwight Berube as Vice President and General

Manager effective May 1.

Staff recommends that the Commission approve these appointments for all three race parks, and advises the Commissioners that Dwight is also a current licensee as an association officer, association director with Commission licenses.

I am available to answer questions. And again, Marnie McCormick is also available to answer questions.

CHAIRMAN PATE: Commissioners, are there any

| 1 | questions? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. MACH: Mr. Chairman, I move the approval of |
| 3 | all items under 5A of our Agenda. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Is there a second? |
| 5 | DR. McNABB: I'll second. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN PATE: There's been a motion made and |
| 8 | seconded. Any further discussion? |
| 9 | (No response.) |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Hearing none, all those in |
| 11 | favor, say aye. |
| 12 | (A chorus of ayes.) |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Any opposed? |
| 14 | (No response.) |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Hearing none, motion carries. |
| 16 | Item B, acknowledge of changes to the officers, |
| 17 | directors, and managers of VGB Operations, LLC, VGB |
| 18 | Management, LLC, and VG Beverage, Inc., effective March |
| 19 | 17, 2021. Virginia, please present these items. |
| 20 | MS. FIELDS: Specifically, Commissioners, on |
| 21 | Agenda 5.B.1, 2, and 3 these are just for |
| 22 | acknowledgment. No Commission approval or action is |
| 23 | required. The information has been provided by the law |
| 24 | firm and Marnie McCormick, also here today to answer any |
| 25 | questions. |

| 1 | But we are asking that Dwight Berube be |
|----|---|
| 2 | appointed as Sole Manager effective March 17, 2021, for |
| 3 | VGB Operations, that VGB Management appoint Dwight Berube |
| 4 | as the Sole Manager effective March 17 of 2021, and Item |
| 5 | C, VG Beverage, Inc., appointing Chris McErlean as Sole |
| 6 | Director and Secretary, and Dwight Berube President |
| 7 | effective, again, March 17. |
| 8 | Again, both have current licenses as |
| 9 | association officers and directors. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Is there any |
| 11 | further discussion? |
| 12 | (No response.) |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Is there a motion to approve |
| 14 | those changes? |
| 15 | DR. McNABB: So moved. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Is there a second? |
| 17 | MR. KLEINSCHMIDT: Second. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you. There's been a |
| 19 | motion made and seconded. Is there any further |
| 20 | discussion? |
| 21 | (No response.) |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Hearing none, all those in |
| 23 | favor, signify by saying aye. |
| 24 | (A chorus of ayes.) |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Any opposed? |

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN PATE: The motion carries. Thank you.

Dwight, we know what you look like. Your name's

prominently displayed, okay? All right.

Thank you, Virginia. We'll now move on to proceedings on rules. The next item is the proceedings on rules and the Texas Administrative Code, Agenda Item 6.A.1, discussion and possible action to repeal Section '309.13, supplemental fee. Virginia, please present this item.

MS. FIELDS: Commissioners, Virginia Fields again. Agenda Item 6.A.1 is the repeal of the supplemental fee rule in Texas 16 -- Texas Administrative Code rule '309.13. The purpose of the supplemental fee was to pay for the Commission's cost to procure an independent audit -- a review of the economy, efficiency, and effective of the operations as requested by the racing industry.

The fee had been assessed, in addition to racetrack license fees, as prescribed under rules '309.8, and other racetrack license fees or fees under '309.11 and '309.12. The proposed repeal that the rule is necessary as the audit unnecessary, and it would save the racetrack significant fees.

There were no public comments in the 30-day

| 1 | comment period of this rule repeal. Staff recommends that |
|----|---|
| 2 | the Commission adopt the repeal of the supplemental fee |
| 3 | rule as published in the April 29th issue of the <i>Texas</i> |
| 4 | Register with an effective date of June 28th. And I'm |
| 5 | happy to answer any questions. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you, Virginia. |
| 7 | Phil, no one signed up for public comment on |
| 8 | this? |
| 9 | MR. FOUNTAIN: No, sir. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. If there's no |
| 11 | further discussion for public comment, the Chair would |
| 12 | entertain a motion to adopt the repeal of supplemental |
| 13 | fee, Section 309.13 as proposed in the April 29, 2022, |
| 14 | issue of the <i>Texas Register</i> . |
| 15 | MR. MACH: So moved. |
| 16 | DR. McNABB: I second. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Motion made by Mach, seconded |
| 18 | by McNabb. Any further discussion? |
| 19 | (No response.) |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Hearing none, all those in |
| 21 | favor, please signify by saying aye. |
| 22 | |
| | (A chorus of ayes.) |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Any opposed? |
| 24 | (No response.) |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. The motion carries. |

Next item is Item 6.A.2, discussion and 1 possible action to adopt the amendment to approval of 2 charity race days, Section '303.42. Virginia will present. 3 4 MS. FIELDS: This rule amendment is necessary 5 to broaden the charity opportunities to participate in 6 charity race days at racetracks. For example, charities 7 benefitting veterans' organization on a veteran holiday race day will increase public participation and will also 8 9 greatly benefit veteran charitable organizations. 10 The proposed rule amendments were presented to the Commission at its meeting on April 13th of this year, 11 and initially proposed by Commission Vice Chair Connie 12 13 McNabb. Thereafter, the proposed rule was published in 14 the April 29th issue of the Texas Register. 15 There were no public comments to the proposed 16 rule amendments to Rule '303.42 in the 30-day comment 17 period, and the propose rule as published is before you today for adoption with an effective date of June 28th. 18 19 Staff recommends the Commission adopt the amendments as published to Rule '303.42 in the April 29th 20 21 Texas Register issue. 22 CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you, Virginia. 23 Commissioners, do you have any questions? 24 DR. McNABB: Just a comment. Given the example of veteran charity and given that there's a preponderance 25

| 1 | of veterans around here, we think those are great, but I |
|----|--|
| 2 | don't want folks to think that we are dictating a specific |
| 3 | kind. Our intent was to broaden the envelope to charities |
| 4 | that do more good for more people in Texas, and doing good |
| 5 | is good business. |
| 6 | MS. FIELDS: Thank you. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Phil, there's no public comment |
| 8 | signed up for this, is there? |
| 9 | MR. FOUNTAIN: No, sir. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Thank you. If |
| 11 | there is no further discussion or public comment, the |
| 12 | Chair would entertain a motion to adopt the amendment to |
| 13 | the charity race days as proposed in the April 29, 2022, |
| 14 | issue of the Texas Register. |
| 15 | MR. KLEINSCHMIDT: So moved. |
| 16 | MR. MACH: Second. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Motion made by Commissioner |
| 18 | Kleinschmidt. Second by Commissioner Mach. Any further |
| 19 | discussion? |
| 20 | (No response.) |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Hearing none, all those in |
| 22 | favor |
| 23 | (A chorus of ayes.) |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN PATE: signify by saying aye. |
| 25 | Thank you. Any opposed? |

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Motion carries.

Agenda Item 6.A.3 is next -- discussion and possible action to adopt amendment to Section
'301.1(b)(74), definition of specimen. Virginia, will you present this Agenda item?

MR. FIELDS: Yes, thank you, sir. Rule amendment to the definition of specimen was necessary to clarify the definition to include a hair follicle and shaft. This is to promote the integrity of racing and the safety of the racing participants.

The Texas Racing Act states that the Commission rules must require state-of-the-art testing, and that testing can be pre-race, post-race, as determined by the Commission, and be by invasive or non-invasive method.

The proposed rule amendment was presented to the Texas Racing Commission Health and Safety Subcommittee at its open meetings held on February 2nd and March 8th for implementation of the hair testing that is to begin on September 1st, in addition to the current serum and urine testing of racehorses and occupational licensees.

The Subcommittee recommended that the proposed rule amendment be placed on the Texas Racing Commission

Agenda for publication in the Texas Register. The proposed rule amendments were before the Commission at its

1 April 13th meeting, which were approved for publication in 2 the April 29th issue of the Texas Register. 3 There were no comments to the proposed rule 4 within the 30-day comment period to rule '301.1(b)(74), and 5 we are before you today to recommend the adoption of the 6 proposed rule as published with an effective date of June 7 28, 2022. 8 CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Thank you, 9 Virginia. 10 Commissioners, do any of you have any questions? 11 12 (No response.) CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Hearing none, Phil, 13 14 has anybody signed up for public comment on this? 15 MR. FOUNTAIN: No, sir. CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Thank you. 16 Ιf 17 there is no further discussion or public comment, the Chair will entertain a motion to adopt the amendment to 18 19 the definition of specimen as proposed in the April 29, 20 2022 issue of the Texas Register. 21 MR. MACH: So moved. 22 CHAIRMAN PATE: Motion made by Commissioner 23 Mach. 24 DR. McNABB: I'll second. 25 Second by Commissioner McNabb. CHAIRMAN PATE:

1 Any further discussion? Hearing none, all those in 2 favor, signify by saying aye. (A chorus of ayes.) 3 4 CHAIRMAN PATE: Any opposed? 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN PATE: Motion carries. 7 Next item is Agenda 6.A.4, discussion and possible action to adopt the amendment to the rule on 8 9 medication restricted, Section 319.3F. Virginia will 10 present this Agenda item. MS. FIELDS: Thank you, Chair. 11 12 amendments are proposed amendments necessary, again, to clarify for the implementation of the hair testing 13 14 scheduled to start on September 1st for prohibited substances to include beta-agonists, and to promote the 15 16 integrity of racing and safety of the racing participants. 17 The rule amendments to the medication restricted rule provide clarity and upgrade the drug-18 19 testing process with the implementation of state-of-theart hair testing to determine the use of a prohibited 20 substance to influence the outcome of a horse race. 21 22 The proposed rule amends Rule Number '319.3(f) 23 by removing specific prohibited substances, clenbuterol 24 and albuterol, replacing those specific prohibited

substances with a group of beta-agonists.

25

The proposed rule also includes attending veterinarian to distinguish between the attending and the Commission veterinarian. This will better distinguish between the duties of the attending veterinarian and those duties of the Commission veterinarian.

The proposed rule amendments were presented to the Texas Racing Commission Health and Safety Committee at its open meetings on February 2nd and March 8th for implementation of the hair testing, in addition to current serum and urine drug testing of racehorse and occupational licensees.

The Subcommittee recommended the proposed rule amendments be placed on the Racing Commission's April 13th Agenda for approval to be published in the *Texas Register*. The proposed rule amendments were before the Commission at its April 13th meeting, and were thereafter set for publication and published in the April 29th issue.

There were no public comments to the proposed rule amendments on rule '319.3(f) within the 30-day comment period, and staff recommends that the Commission adopt the amendments as published in the April 29th issue of the Texas Register.

CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you, Virginia.

Commissioners, do you have any questions?

(No response.)

| 1 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Phil, has anybody signed up for |
|----|---|
| 2 | public comment on this item? |
| 3 | MR. FOUNTAIN: No, sir. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. If there is no |
| 5 | further discussion or public comment, the Chair will |
| 6 | entertain a motion to adopt the amendment to the |
| 7 | medication restricted rule as proposed in the April 29, |
| 8 | 2022, issue of the <i>Texas Register</i> . |
| 9 | MR. MACH: So moved. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Motion made by Commissioner |
| 11 | Mach. |
| 12 | DR. McNABB: Second. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Second by Commissioner McNabb. |
| 14 | Any further discussion? |
| 15 | (No response.) |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Hearing none, those in favor, |
| 17 | signify by saying aye. |
| 18 | (A chorus of ayes.) |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Any opposed? |
| 20 | (No response.) |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Motion carries. |
| 22 | Next item is Agenda Item 6.A.5, discussion and |
| 23 | possible action to adopt the amendment to the rule on |
| 24 | testing authorized, Section '319.301. Virginia will |
| 25 | present this Agenda item. |

MS. FIELDS: Thank you. The proposed rule amendment to 319.301, testing authorized, is to provide clarity and, again, update the drug testing process with the implementation of the state-of-the-art hair testing to determine the use, again, of a prohibited substance to the influence the outcome of a race.

The proposed rule amends Subsection A by adding the words "hair" and "tissue" to the type of specimen to be collected from a race animal. And it also clarifies Subsection C by removing the word "Secretary" and replacing that to "Executive Director" to more accurately reflect the title of the position.

The proposed rule amendments were, again, presented at the Texas Racing Health and Safety

Subcommittee open meetings on February 2nd and March 8th for implementation of the hair testing, in addition to the current serum and urine drug testing of racehorses and occupational licensees.

Subcommittee recommended the proposed rule amendments be placed on the Racing Commission Agenda for the April 13th meeting for approval to be published in the Texas Register. Those proposed rule amendments were before the Commission at the April 13th meeting, which were approved for publication in the April 29th issue.

There were no public comments to the proposed

rule amendments to rule '319.301 within the 30-day comment 1 2 period, and the proposed rule as published is before you today for adoption. 3 CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you, Virginia. 4 5 Commissioners, any questions? 6 DR. McNABB: Just a comment. We wanted folks 7 to know who were not at those meetings that if 8 associations want to have a requirement for hair testing 9 for participation, and that's something that is being done 10 under the authority of that association, we think that that's a grand idea. 11 12 Doing that level of testing is beyond our scope and our budget. But if, indeed, an association wants to 13 14 make those restrictions to make horses eligible as part of 15 their program, we think that that is a very good thing, 16 and we applaud your efforts. 17 CHAIRMAN PATE: That's correct. Phil, did anybody else sign up for public 18 19 comment? 20 No, sir. MR. FOUNTAIN: 21 CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. If there is no 22 further discussion or public comment, the 23 Chair would entertain a motion to adopt the amendment to 24 the testing authorized rule as proposed in the April 29,

2022, issue of the Texas Register.

25

| 1 | DR. McNABB: So moved. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Motion by McNabb. |
| 3 | MR. MACH: Second. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Second by Mach. Any further |
| 5 | discussion? |
| 6 | (No response.) |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Hearing none, all those in |
| 8 | favor, signify by saying aye. |
| 9 | (A chorus of ayes.) |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All opposed? |
| 11 | (No response.) |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Motion carries. |
| 13 | And I think the final item, Agenda Item 4.B, |
| 14 | discussion and possible action on the opening rule review |
| 15 | of Chapter 301, Definitions and Chapter 303, General |
| 16 | Provisions. Virginia Fields, General Counsel, please |
| 17 | present this Agenda item. |
| 18 | MS. FIELDS: Commission, Chair has opened up |
| 19 | for major rule reviews, and as such, we are starting as |
| 20 | well under the Texas Government Code to review and |
| 21 | consider for the readoption of Chapters 301 and 303. |
| 22 | Staff recommends that the Commission move and approve |
| 23 | Chapters 301 and 303 for rules review. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Commissioners, any questions? |
| 25 | MR. MACH: I'll make that motion. |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Thank you. |
|----|--|
| 2 | And no public comment, Phil? No? All right. |
| 3 | Motion made by Commissioner Mach to |
| 4 | MR. KLEINSCHMIDT: Second. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Second by |
| 6 | Commissioner Kleinschmidt. Thank you. Any further |
| 7 | discussion? |
| 8 | (No response.) |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All those in favor, signify by |
| 10 | saying aye. |
| 11 | (A chorus of ayes.) |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN PATE: Any opposed? |
| 13 | (No response.) |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. Motion carries. |
| 15 | MS. FIELDS: Thank you, Commissioners. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. I guess we'll now |
| 17 | move on to the main event. By way of introduction, we're |
| 18 | pleased to have here today, at our invitation, the |
| 19 | Executive Director and General Counsel for the Horseracing |
| 20 | Integrity and Safety Authority to discuss their |
| 21 | implementation of the federal Horseracing Integrity and |
| 22 | Safety Act of 2020. |
| 23 | Imminently qualified, Lisa Lazarus and John |
| 24 | Roach came into their current positions with significant |
| 25 | legal and public service experience. Ms. Lazarus, I |

think, is a graduate of Fordham University School of Law.

She is the Authority's Chief Executive Officer in

Lexington, Kentucky.

She began her legal career as an associate at Akin Gump, and then clerked for a federal district judge in Memphis in Tennessee. Ms. Lazarus has extensive experience in equine sports, having established and led equestrian practice for Morgan Sports Law.

Prior to her time with Morgan, she also served as General Counsel and later Chief Business Development Strategy at the Federation Equestre Internationale, the international body for equestrian sports.

Additionally, Ms. Lazarus spent more than a decade providing legal counsel to the National Football League -- Lord knows they need it -- culminating in her time supporting the NFL International as the League Senior Director of Partner Development.

Mr. Roach is a former Kentucky Supreme Court

Justice. He has served as General Counsel to a governor

of Kentucky, and is a former vice-chairman of the Kentucky

Horseracing Commission. After graduating from the

University of Kentucky College of Law, Mr. Roach clerked

with the senior judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the

Sixth Circuit.

Before returning to the Bluegrass State, he

spent time in Washington, D.C. as a corporate and securities attorney with the Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer, and Feld Law Firm. In addition to a vigorous trial practice, Mr. Roach has successfully argued appellate cases before the Kentucky Court of Appeals, the Kentucky Supreme Court, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. He's also been included in the Best Lawyers in America for the last several years, and is an American Arbitration Association panel member.

I want to thank you both for taking your time to travel to Texas from your offices in Kentucky to visit with us, and we look forward to your comments.

MS. LAZARUS: I will start. I want to start first by thanking you for that very generous introduction. I'm genuinely very appreciate of the invitation to come here today and to speak with the Texas Horseracing Commission and, of course, with Ms. Cook, who's doing a phenomenal job, obviously, leading the Texas Racing Commission.

I think you sort of mentioned this briefly earlier, but I was appointed CEO only in February of this year. And so I'm in the job about four months, and I was not involved with drafting the legislation, nor was I involved with lobbying for it or getting it passed. My job is to implement it, really nothing more or nothing

less. And so I'm hoping that will get me a little bit of empathy from this esteemed body and sort of trying to do really, ultimately, what Congress has ordered me to do.

So I guess I want to start by saying Texas is very important to horseracing -- very important. And I am going to do everything I possibly can to find a way forward with this Commission and with your state.

We share the same goals. You know, we want the same things for horseracing, which is continued expansion, public confidence, integrity, safety. And I genuinely believe that your perspective of whatever -- for the federal -- how we feel about the federal law, that we can do that together.

And I guess I've given Texas' position a lot of thought. And I guess I -- what I'd like to do is sort of offer this as a proposal of sorts. HISA respects Texas' position on the constitutionality and legality of the Act. And that conversation is sort of happening over here, which is going through the federal courts. And the federal courts will determine through the various circuit decisions what the answers to those questions are.

My conversation is about what's going to happen on July 1, and how do we move forward together. And I genuinely think that if we have that conversation about what can we practically do as of July 1 that's good for

Texas, that stays within what Congress has required me to do, and prevents having to shut down pari-mutuel wagering in Texas -- I really don't think that's necessary.

One of the things I want to maybe make clear -- and I think there might be some misconception about is -- there are very few hard rules that actually will be enforced as of July 1. There's two -- I think most of you know there's two programs that, essentially, HISA has been authorized to run. One is the racetrack safety program and the other is the doping medication control program.

That -- the doping medication control program isn't going to come into effect until January of 2023.

So, we're only talking about racetrack safety. And within racetrack safety, there's really two series of rules -- the 2100 rules, which a lot of the rules that reference frequently, are the rules around accreditation. And those rules we will not be enforcing as of July 1.

Those rules are really an ongoing conversation. It's around accreditation for tracks that already have an NTRA accreditation. They'll get a three-year provisional accreditation. And for those that don't, they'll get one year.

And we will work with the tracks to ultimately, essentially, accomplish all of those kind of safety requirements -- and many of which, having hearing you all

speak today and hearing Ms. Cook -- really very similar for what you're doing here in Texas. So I genuinely don't think that's going to be a very heavy lift for you.

And we've actually been able to sit down and talk to you, racing commissions and tracks -- even those that feel very similar to you about the law. There's really often a realization that, You know what? We're actually doing most of this already. This isn't so difficult.

So, that's the 2100 series, and that's not going to be enforced automatically on July 1. Then we have about seven rules around the crop rule and some veterinary reporting, et cetera, that will be enforced on July 1. And I think that those are rules that we really can work together to seamlessly enforce in a way that makes sense, and in a way that just allows things to move forward while this other conversation is being resolved.

So that's really what I wanted to say today. I also want to mention that I had the opportunity to read the Texas Racing Commission's strategic plan, which I think is really excellent. And what really resonated with me is that it states that the Agency is in full agreement, meaning the Texas Racing Commission, that increased uniformity of safety standards among the states is an appropriate directive for the future of horseracing.

So what I'm asking is, let's put aside our feelings about the law and let's just kind of see if we can work together on that, and at least have a conversation. If at the end of the day, it doesn't work, I can accept that. But I want to at least be able to have that conversation and that dialog.

Just a couple more points before I turn over to John Roach, who's going to answer some of the specific concerns that were raised during the public comment. But we're open to dialog. We're listening. Every single comment and criticism that I hear, I write down and I listen.

You know, we can't change everything immediately, and it's not going to be perfect from day one. This is what Congress decided this is what we need to do. And I think you'll find if you work with us, it's going to be a lot better, ultimately, especially for the horsemen of your state and the racetracks in your state.

I really genuinely think that there is a way forward, and we're not -- you know, people who get to know me personally or to John Roach -- we really want to be cooperative. We don't want to come in and take things over. Ninety percent of what's being run by the Racing Commission is going to stay being run by the Racing Commission.

There's a few things that Congress is requiring us to do that we want to do with you, and I hope that you'll give us that chance and at least have the conversation. So I appreciate your time in listening to me.

I'm going to turn it over to John because there was a few things that were raised during public comment -- though, while I don't want to get into too much detail today -- I think are important to maybe explain so that there's an understanding among stakeholders, et cetera.

So, thank you very much for your time today. I look forward to hopefully speaking with each of you a little bit more as the day progresses. And I'm going to now turn over to John. Thank you.

MR. ROACH: Thanks, Lisa. Thank you, Judge

Pate and Members of the Commission. I appreciate the kind introduction. The one part of the bio that was missed out is I'm married to a Texan. And she reminds -- even though we've been married 32 years, when people ask her, she's from Texas.

I was married in Texas. My wife reminds me she is the only person in her direct family that's ever left the state. So, you can hold that against me, and I would probably imagine I'm the only outsider here that's been to Manor Downs. So, and I've fished at Port O'Connor and

vacationed at Galveston and South Padre, so I know this state.

And why am I telling you this? It wasn't obvious in my bio, but I was the most conservative person that's ever served on the Kentucky Supreme Court until the voters sent me to early retirement. Somebody that's been involved with the Federalist Society since founding it at the law school that I went to.

And people ask me that know me, Why are you involved in this federal program? And the real reason is I've been betting on horses since I was 15 years old. My parents were public school teachers. I don't come from the blue bloods.

I came from -- I love horseracing. I don't bet on anything else, but I bet on horses. And I came around to the idea that although I truly believe in my principles, that I couldn't imagine that the circus has stopped that I took my kids to.

And when I kind of had that realization, I realized that this sport -- this profession for many of people -- this game is facing a massive crisis. And so, for what it's worth, yep, I'm the outside paid lawyer. I get paid to do this.

But I want this Commission to know that I'm also a true believer in this. And I think that it's so

important that we do everything we can to protect this beautiful sport by protecting the athletes and the horses.

Just a couple of quick hits, Judge Pate, and then, I'll sit down. Okay, I just -- on a few of the public comments about rule 2230 and the treatment restrictions -- on the face of those regulations, they only apply at the racetracks and official training centers.

I would point out about the safety rules, although it might sound offensive -- those safety rules have been out in the public sphere since last fall. They were submitted to the Federal Trade Commission in December, but were sent out to probably 40 or 50 industry organizations throughout the fall last year. The safety rules are the rules that were submitted in December.

And we still want to hear comments. We sent the enforcement rules in. There were comments. We change them. We're going to be -- we've gotten comments about the funding methodology. We're going to be doing a new round of those changes.

So, I think Lisa is right. Lisa, under her leadership, has made clear we're going to listen and respond when we can.

Judge, just so you know, the 14-day comment period -- we have nothing to do with that. The Federal

Trade Commission, that's their deal. Every one of our rules that have been placed on the *Federal Register* have been subjected to the same 14-day requirement.

As it relates to the definition of the trainer, I think it's kind of a perfect example, and I think, Judge, you pointed this out. I might have come up with a better definition. It's the definition that's in the statute.

So, as you pointed out earlier on, you understand this isn't personal. Congress has passed this law. We're trying -- but when Congress sets forth the definition, we don't think we have a lot of flexibility of setting forth the definition.

And so as it relates to the registration process, I did want the Commission to know this -- we are, first of all, we have been helping -- we're going to be doing like parachute events. Lisa is actually going to go Evangeline Downs here in a week or so, too. We're going to send in teams with laptops and help folks register on the back side.

The horsemen's organization in New York did an event last Saturday that registered over 500 people. You can register on the phone. And I would also say that we've made clear that there's -- as we ramp up this process, a groom on the back side is not -- you know, he's

going to be given every opportunity to register.

There's not going to be enforcement actions brought against a person like that. And our registration requirement does not supersede your licensing requirements. It has nothing to do with -- you know, so, we've had some racetracks in other states say, Do you have to have a HISA registration to access to the back side?

The answer is no. Our registration is separate and apart from each state's licensure requirements. You all control within your licensure rules those access rules.

We are not attempting to preempt those. We'll have to have a conversation about the HISA folks, and that's something we can talk about. And I can't imagine reasonable minds -- we can figure that out.

But I wanted to assure the Commission -- and we've made all these statements before -- we're not supplanting state licensure requirements. Our registration -- the truth of the matter is our registration -- the statute says we have to have a registration. And our registration, if any of you all have seen it, takes about three minutes.

You can do it on your phone. And it's really a contact system. The covered horse part of it will allow -- particularly when the anti-doping and medication

control program's up and running -- will really help us in terms of whereabouts and identifying where the horses are.

So I just wanted to kind of take a few random thoughts as it relates to the comments, and just would echo what Lisa said -- totally understand and respect, particularly from my background, your all's perspective on the litigation and your views about the statute. But I have found when we sit down with folks, we are basically going to be able to enter into some type of relationship, whether through a letter or an agreement, with probably at least -- I think we're going to have every state -- there might be -- it'll probably be less than five.

And for the ones that have sat down with us, we've been able to try to work a path forward. And hopefully, we can do that. But at the end of the day, I share and would amplify what Lisa said, which is we are appreciative that you invited us here.

We welcome the chance to sit down and talk to you all and to see if we can put a path forward. And I appreciate all of you all's work on behalf of horseracing here in Texas.

CHAIRMAN PATE: We appreciate you all coming very much. Commissioners, do you have any questions of Mr. Roach and Ms. Lazarus? Anything you'd like to discuss at this time?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN PATE: It's very encouraging to hear your remarks -- both you and Ms. Lazarus. We have some serious discussion to occur to see if we can get over the humps, and for the benefit of all the horsemen and tracks and the entire equine industry here in Texas.

But we're willing to do it. We're willing to sit down and see if we can work it out. But you have constraints and we do, too. And we're just going to figure them out. So --

MR. ROACH: Well, we're glad to be here.

CHAIRMAN PATE: Thank you. Thank you for coming. And I'm sure there are going to be some people that want to say something to you when this over. So, be prepared. And you might get some cards.

And I want to make sure you meet our representative from the Texas A&M lab back here. Travis, be sure you come up and talk to him. I want to make sure you all know who he is because it's one of the finest labs in the world.

Commissioners, anything else?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN PATE: All right. No other -- thank

you -- business. Let's see. There's no executive session
this time.

| 1 | Commissioners, the next meeting is scheduled |
|---|--|
| 2 | for Wednesday, August 24, 2022 at 10:30 a.m. to be held in |
| 3 | this room. |
| 4 | The time is now 11:55, and with all business |
| 5 | concluded, we are now adjourned. Thank you. |
| 6 | (Whereupon, at 11:55 a.m., the meeting is |
| 7 | adjourned.) |

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 MEETING OF: Texas Racing Commission 4 Austin, Texas LOCATION: 5 DATE: June 8, 2022 6 I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 7 numbers 1 through 77, inclusive, are the true, accurate, and complete transcript prepared from the verbal recording 8 9 made by electronic recording by Elizabeth Stoddard before the Texas Racing Commission. 10 DATE: June 17, 2022 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 (Transcriber) 19 20 On the Record Reporting

21

22

23 24 7703 N. Lamar Blvd., #515

Austin, Texas 78752